

Filed: 3 November 2017 9:13 AM



Reply (UCPR 8)

COURT DETAILS

Supreme Court of NSW Court

Division Equity

Equity General List

Registry Supreme Court Sydney

2017/00234966 Case number

TITLE OF PROCEEDINGS

First Plaintiff Alan Smith

First Defendant KPMG FINANCIAL ADVISORY SERVICES (AUSTRALIA) PTY

LTD

ACN 007363215

Simon Jacob Morris

FILING DETAILS

Filed for Alan Smith, Plaintiff 1

Legal representative

Legal representative reference

Telephone 02 9253 9999 Your reference SJM.AEF.401622

ATTACHMENT DETAILS

In accordance with Part 3 of the UCPR, this coversheet confirms that both the Lodge Document, along with any other documents listed below, were filed by the Court.

Reply (UCPR 8) (Reply - DML.pdf)

[attach.]

bdaniel001 Page 1 of 1 Form 8 (version 5) UCPR 14.4

REPLY

COURT DETAILS

Court

Supreme Court of New South Wales

Division

Equity Division

List

Equity General

Registry

Sydney

Case number

2017/00234966

TITLE OF PROCEEDINGS

Plaintiff

Alan Smith

Defendant

KPMG Financial Advisory Services (Australia) Pty Ltd

(ACN 007 363 215)

FILING DETAILS

Filed for

Alan Smith, Plaintiff.

Legal representative

Simon Morris

Piper Alderman

Legal representative reference

SJM.401622

Contact name and telephone

Simon Morris Tel: +61 2 9253 9999

Contact email

smorris@piperalderman.com.au

PLEADINGS AND PARTICULARS

Save as to the allegation addressed below, the plaintiff relies upon the implied joinder of issue pursuant to r 14.27 of the *Uniform Civil Procedure Act 2005* (NSW).

- 1 In response to subparagraph 42(a), the plaintiff:
 - a. does not admit the subparagraph;
 - b. in any event, if KPMG Advisory applied an alpha factor of 1.0% per annum, the plaintiff repeats the allegations made in paragraphs 98 and 99 of the Amended Statement of Claim in respect to the application of that alpha factor, and subsequent references to those paragraphs in the Amended Statement of Claim should be taken to include these allegations.

SIGNATURE OF LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE

I certify under clause 4 of Schedule 2 to the <u>Legal Profession Uniform Law Application Act</u>

<u>2014</u> that there are reasonable grounds for believing on the basis of provable facts and a reasonably arguable view of the law that the claim for damages in this reply has reasonable prospects of success.

Signature

Capacity

Solicitor on the Record

Date of signature

3 November 2017